IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

CASE NO. 5:89CV1988		
JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS		
	CASE NO. 5:91CV2559	
	JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS	

ORDER

The above-captioned matters are before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert. (Case No. 5:89CV1988, Dkt. # 672; Case No. 5:91CV2559, Dkt. # 163). Magistrate Judge Limbert, pursuant to an agreement of the parties, recommends that the Court dismiss with prejudice all remaining

cross-claims asserted by all Defendants, with each party to bear its own costs and

attorney's fees in connection with such cross-claims.

FED. R. CIV.P. 72(b) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must

be filed within ten (10) days after service, but Plaintiff has failed to timely file any such

objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that the parties are satisfied with the

Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a

duplicative and an inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn, 728

F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and

Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947

(6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Limbert (Case

No. 5:89CV1988, Dkt. # 672; Case No. 5:91CV2559, Dkt. # 163) is hereby **ADOPTED**.

All remaining cross-claims asserted by all Defendants are hereby **DISMISSED** with

PREJUDICE, each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees in connection with

such cross-claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Peter C. Economus – March 5, 2009

PETER C. ECONOMUS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2